



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/695,067		10/25/2000	Tadashi Emori	071671/0156	1683
22428	7590	12/08/2004		EXAMINER	
	AND LAR	DNER	OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N		
SUITE 500 3000 K ST	O REET NW	I		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007				2655	
				DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Į.
Advisory Action	09/695,067	EMORI ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael N. Opsasnick	2655	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avairal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a ition in
 	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		•
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejecti IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr	ion. See MPEP opriate extension
ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officiled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai 704(b).	originally set in the final ling date of the final reje	Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI 	•		
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the second o	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-31</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by.	the Examiner.	Ω . $ $
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		14
10. Other:	•	Derc	
			OMETZ XAMINER 1 2653

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments presented by the applicant pertain to applicant's specification, and not to the scope of the claim language..